Pupil Premium Impact Report Autumn 2021

			DD 0.0	ATTATALATAL															
			PROG	ATTAINMENT															
		JPILS	Онодиос с	Пиодиосс	PUPILS		Prev	ious	Year	· / Ba	aseli	ne		Autumn Term					
REAL	DING	G PUF	Progress Progress Evaluation Points			ASSESS		STATUS			SUM	MARY	ASSESS		STA	TUS		SUMMARY	
		PRO			TOTAL	ASS	BE	ОТ	AT	AB	OT+	AT+	ASK	BE	ОТ	AT	AB	OT+	AT+
Year 1	PP	9	Req Imp	0.78	10	9	33%	0%	67%	0%	67%	67%	10	40%	10%	50%	0%	60%	50%
rear 1	NON PP	17	Good	0.88	17	17	6%	18%	76%	0%	94%	76%	17	6%	29%	65%	0%	94%	65%
Year 2	PP	14	Req Imp	0.79	14	14	21%	43%	36%	0%	79%	36%	14	21%	50%	29%	0%	79%	29%
Teal 2	NON PP	15	Req Imp	0.73	15	15	33%	27%	40%	0%	67%	40%	15	33%	20%	47%	0%	67%	47%
Year 3	PP	21	Inad	0.62	21	21	24%	14%	62%	0%	76%	62%	21	24%	38%	38%	0%	76%	38%
Teal 3	NON PP	12	Good	1.00	12	12	25%	17%	17%	42%	75%	58%	12	17%	17%	67%	0%	83%	67%
Year 4	PP	18	Good	1.00	18	18	44%	6%	44%	6%	56%	50%	18	44%	0%	56%	0%	56%	56%
Teal 4	NON PP	12	Req Imp	0.75	12	12	50%	17%	25%	8%	50%	33%	12	50%	17%	33%	0%	50%	33%
Year 5	PP	19	Good	1.16	20	19	21%	32%	32%	16%	79%	47%	20	20%	20%	60%	0%	80%	60%
icai 5	NON PP	13	Good	1.00	13	13	15%	31%	46%	8%	85%	54%	13	15%	31%	54%	0%	85%	54%
Year 6	PP	21	Good	1.10	21	21	14%	29%	38%	19%	86%	57%	21	14%	24%	62%	0%	86%	62%
Teal 0	NON PP	11	Out	1.36	11	11	36%	18%	36%	9%	64%	45%	11	18%	27%	55%	0%	82%	55%

	Reading
Year 1	There is a small gap between PP and non-PP. Of the ten children, five of them
	have attendance below 90%. One child is new, did not attend any nursery or
	reception, and started after the first week in October.
Year 2	PP children do slightly better than non-PP children although attainment is slightly
	lower. Of the fourteen children, six children have attendance lower than 90%.
	Three of the children have SEN needs and one child was home schooled and
	didn't attend any school with us until the middle of September.
Year 3	There is a gap between PP and non-PP children in this cohort. The SENCO has
	been involved in supporting and identifying the needs of the children in this class.
Year 4	PP children are out performing non-PP children.
Year 5	PP children are out performing non-PP children.
Year 6	Although PP children are not making as much progress as non-PP children, the
	attainment of this group is still higher.

		—				_													
		PUPILS	Progress	Виодиос с	PUPILS	ᆫ	Previous Year / Baseline Autumn Terr										n		
WRI	ΓING	G₽	Evaluation	Progress Points		88		STA	TUS		SUMI	MARY	ESS	STATUS				SUMMARY	
		PROG			TOTAL	ASSE	BE	OT	AT	AB	OT+	AT+	ASS	BE	OT	AT	AB	OT+	AT+
Year 1	PP	9	Req Imp	0.78	10	9	33%	0%	67%	0%	67%	67%	10	40%	0%	60%	0%	60%	60%
Teal 1	NON PP	17	Req Imp	0.71	17	17	6%	18%	76%	0%	94%	76%	17	12%	29%	59%	0%	88%	59%
Year 2	PP	14	Good	1.14	14	14	43%	29%	29%	0%	57%	29%	14	21%	57%	21%	0%	79%	21%
Teal 2	NON PP	15	Good	1.13	15	15	40%	27%	33%	0%	60%	33%	15	33%	20%	47%	0%	67%	47%
Year 3	PP	21	Req Imp	0.71	21	21	24%	19%	52%	5%	76%	57%	21	29%	24%	48%	0%	71%	48%
Teal 3	NON PP	12	Out	1.25	12	12	33%	8%	25%	33%	67%	58%	12	25%	8%	58%	8%	75%	67%
Year 4	PP	18	Good	0.94	18	18	44%	17%	33%	6%	56%	39%	18	44%	17%	39%	0%	56%	39%
Teal T	NON PP	12	Good	1.00	12	12	50%	25%	25%	0%	50%	25%	12	50%	17%	33%	0%	50%	33%
Year 5	PP	19	Good	1.16	20	19	42%	32%	26%	0%	58%	26%	20	35%	30%	35%	0%	65%	35%
icai J	NON PP	13	Good	1.15	13	13	31%	31%	38%	0%	69%	38%	13	31%	15%	54%	0%	69%	54%
Year 6	PP	21	Good	0.95	21	21	24%	29%	29%	19%	76%	48%	21	19%	43%	38%	0%	81%	38%
rear o	NON PP	11	Good	0.91	11	11	36%	18%	45%	0%	64%	45%	11	36%	27%	36%	0%	64%	36%

	Writing
Year 1	PP children have made more progress than non-PP children. Of the ten children, five of them have attendance below 90%. One child is new, did not attend any
	nursery or reception, and started after the first week in October.
Year 2	PP and non-PP children perform broadly similar in writing in Year 2.
Year 3	There is a gap between PP and non-PP. Attainment is broadly similar but the progress of PP children is less than non-PP. Pupil progress meetings have highlighted these children and these children will receive tutoring as part of the tutoring scheme.
Year 4	PP and non-PP children perform broadly similar with PP children having higher attainment than non-PP.
Year 5	PP and non-PP children have made similar progress. Attainment is slightly lower but this gap has closed slightly since last year.
Year 6	PP and non-PP children perform broadly similar across the board.

ľ																			
			PROG	ATTAINMENT :::												BE OT			
· ·		PUPILS	_				Previous Year / Baseline A							Aut	Autumn Term				
MA	THS	3 PUP		Progress Points	AL PUPTLS	ESS		STA	TUS		SUMI	MARY	ESS		STA	TUS		SUMI	MARY
		PROG	Evaluation	Politics	T0T/	ASSES	BE	ОТ	AT	AB	OT+	AT+	SS	BE	ОТ	AT	AB	OT+	AT+
Year 1	PP	9	Good	1.00	10	9	22%	11%	67%	0%	78%	67%	10	30%	10%	60%	0%	70%	60%
Teal 1	NON PP	17	Good	1.00	17	17	6%	18%	76%	0%	94%	76%	17	0%	29%	71%	0%	100%	71%
Year 2	PP	14	Out	1.36	14	14	29%	29%	43%	0%	71%	43%	14	14%	50%	36%	0%	86%	36%
rear z	NON PP	15	Good	1.00	15	15	20%	40%	40%	0%	80%	40%	15	20%	33%	47%	0%	80%	47%
Year 3	PP	21	Inad	0.62	21	21	14%	19%	62%	5%	86%	67%	21	24%	33%	43%	0%	76%	43%
Teal 3	NON PP	12	Good	1.00	12	12	17%	17%	33%	33%	83%	67%	12	17%	17%	67%	0%	83%	67%
Year 4	PP	18	Good	1.06	18	18	39%	17%	39%	6%	61%	44%	18	39%	11%	50%	0%	61%	50%
Teal 4	NON PP	12	Good	0.92	12	12	33%	8%	50%	8%	67%	58%	12	33%	8%	58%	0%	67%	58%
Year 5	PP	19	Good	1.16	20	19	16%	37%	42%	5%	84%	47%	20	10%	35%	55%	0%	90%	55%
Teal 3	NON PP	13	Good	0.92	13	13	15%	8%	77%	0%	85%	77%	13	15%	15%	69%	0%	85%	69%
Year 6	PP	21	Good	1.14	21	21	24%	19%	52%	5%	76%	57%	21	19%	10%	71%	0%	81%	71%
real 0	NON PP	11	Good	1.09	11	11	36%	18%	27%	18%	64%	45%	11	27%	27%	45%	0%	73%	45%

	Maths
Year 1	Progress in the same for PP and non-PP. Attainment is lower for PP children but they have made progress. There are three children classes as below, one child is SEN, one child has 88% attendance and one child has never attended a school until October of this year.
Year 2	PP children's progress is better than non-PP. The number of children on track to achieve at the end of the year is similar as well.
Year 3	PP children have not made as much progress as non-PP and attainment is lower as well. These children have been identified and are part of the tutoring programme school is running.
Year 4	PP and non-PP children perform broadly similar in maths for progress and attainment.
Year 5	PP children have made more progress in maths than non-PP and the attainment gap as closed since the end of last year.
Year 6	PP children out perform their non-PP peers in both progress and attainment.

After-School Clubs

School ran after school clubs for the first time since Covid. The breakdown of PP involvement is as follows:

Club name and age group	Number of places	Number of PP children attending	% of PP attending the club.			
Energy Club	16 (14 on waiting list)	8	50%			
Football Club	20 in club (13 on waiting list)	12	60%			
ICT Club	16 in club (1 on waiting list)	10	63&			
Basketball Club	16 in club (1 on waiting list)	9	56%			
Science Club	16 in club (8 on waiting list)	5	31%			

Breakfast Club

Usually we have between 25 to 40 children attending breakfast club. We do not charge for breakfast club and we are the only school in the area to not charge. Roughly, around 50% of the children who attend breakfast club are classed as PP.

Tutoring Programme

School received money to carry out some tutoring for PP children. This money has allowed us to employ some Level 3 teaching assistants who have released teachers in order to carry out small group tutoring to our PP pupils. This began at the beginning of December and will run until April. These children were a focus of discussions in the Pupil progress meetings, which were held in early January.